LAW OFFICES

## SMITHWICK & BELENDIUK, P.C.

5028 WISCONSIN AVENUE, N.W.

SUITE 301

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20016

TELEPHONE (202) 363-4050

FACSIMILE (202) 363-4266 RECEIVED

GARY S. SMITHWICK ARTHUR V. BELENDIUK

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER:

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

(202) 363-4560

gsmithwick@fccworld.com

COUNSEL

MAY 2 8 2003 WILLIA

WILLIAM M. BARNARD

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

May 28, 2003

## EX PARTE COMMUNICATION MB Docket No. 02-277

Marlene H. Dortch, Esquire Secretary Federal Communications Commission 236 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Suite 110 Washington, D.C. 20002

Re: Biennial Review - Radio Multiple Ownership Rules

MB Docket No. 02-277, MM Docket No. 01-317, MM Docket No. 00-244

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Southern Broadcasting Companies, Inc., transmitted herewith is the original and four copies of Comments in connection with the above-referenced proceeding. As noted below, we are hand serving copies on Chairman Powell, all of the Commissioners and the Chief of the Media Bureau.

If any question arises in connection with this submission, please contact undersigned counsel.

ery truly yours,

Gary S. Smithwick

Counsel for

Southern Broadcasting Companies, Inc.

GSS/sls

cc: The Honorable Michael K. Powell

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy

The Honorable Michael J. Copps

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein

Kenneth Ferree, Esquire (Chief, Media Bureau)

## SOUTHERN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, INC.

1010 Tower Place Bogart, GA 30622 Phone: (706) 549-6222 Fax:(706) 353-1967

RECEIVED

May 27, 2003

MAY 2 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MB Docket No. 02-277; MM Docket No. 01-317; MM Docket

No. 00-244, Radio Ownership Rules

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As the owner and operator of small, non-rated radio markets in Georgia, I have followed the proposals to change the way the FCC defines radio markets with great interest and some degree of alarm. Although small markets, we have taken great pride over the years in providing our communities with the best possible locally produced programming giving heavy emphasis to local news. We have active, fultime News Departments providing our listeners complete and comprehensive local information from election night coverage to weather emergencies.

Over the years, as other local operators have retired, our company was able to acquire their stations in keeping with the contour-based definition of radio markets that has been in place since 1992. Consequently, in some markets our clusters may not be compliance with proposed rules. This is a particularly big problem in light of recent events.

A little less than two years ago, my partner of many years, who had helped me build these radio stations, died unexpectedly. This untimely event left me in a situation of either having to borrow heavily to buy his interest in the stations or to sell the company and say goodbye to friends and employees I had worked with for many years. Although taking on large amounts of debt was not something that I wanted to do at this point in my life, the thought of giving up the stations was unbearable. These stations are more than just a business to me. They are, in fact, my life's work.

With the help of a local bank, I was able to raise the funds necessary to pay the **current market value** required for my late partner's interest in the radio stations. Now, however, if the new proposals for market definition make it impossible for me to keep the stations in tact or to transfer these stations as a cluster some time in the future, then my decision to borrow in order to keep these stations together may have been the worst financial decision of my life.

Mr. Chairman, I understand that some very large companies have made it necessary for you to review and potentially change the market definition rules. I can only hope that your new rules will make provisions for small operators in non-rated markets. Please consider favorably the proposals of the National Association of Broadcasters in their letter to you of May 23, 2003. In particular, their proposals to:

- Grandfather all existing clusters as long as they remain under their present ownership; and
- Permit pre-existing station clusters that would no longer be in compliance with the revised rules to be transferred freely.

These proposals are fair and would give us the financial stability to keep our current lending commitments and to continue providing the full-service radio stations that our communities need and deserve.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul C. Stone

President